

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/575,042	Applicant(s) ADACHI ET AL.	
	Examiner BRIAN CHEW	Art Unit 2195	

All Participants:

(1) BRIAN CHEW.

(2) Bhavani Rayaprolu (Reg. No. 56,583).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 January 2011

Time: 11:00AM EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-30

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed a brief explanation of the invention, particularly regarding skipping non-time-critical tasks according to a control table when operating frequency of a processor falls. Representative for Applicant agreed to amend title and claims in accordance with Examiner Amendment to overcome §112 issues and cited references.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195
/B. C./
Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)